



Evidence-based Practice for Improving Quality

Nosocomial Infection Intervention Bundle May 2019



Evidence-based
Practice for
Improving Quality



Nosocomial Infection Intervention Bundle

Revised January 2019

Page	Domain
3	Hand Hygiene
4	Feeding
5	Line Insertion
7	Line Management and Maintenance
9	Line Removal Education and Documentation
10	Equipment and Environment Considerations Review of Infection by Team
11	References



Items highlighted in yellow indicate the most significant interventions

Grade of Recommendation	Clarity of risk/benefit	Quality of supporting evidence	Implications
1A Strong recommendation, high quality evidence	Benefits clearly outweigh risk and burdens, or vice versa.	Consistent evidence from well performed randomized, controlled trials or overwhelming evidence of some other form. Further research is unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of benefit and risk.	Strong recommendations, can apply to most patients in most circumstances without reservation. Clinicians should follow a strong recommendation unless a clear and compelling rationale for an alternative approach is present.
1B Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence	Benefits clearly outweigh risk and burdens, or vice versa.	Evidence from randomized, controlled trials with important limitations (inconsistent results, methodologic flaws, indirect or imprecise), or very strong evidence of some other research design. Further research (if performed) is likely to have an impact on our confidence in the estimate of benefit and risk and may change the estimate.	Strong recommendation and applies to most patients. Clinicians should follow a strong recommendation unless a clear and compelling rationale for an alternative approach is present.
1C Strong recommendation, low quality evidence	Benefits appear to outweigh risk and burdens, or vice versa.	Evidence from observational studies, unsystematic clinical experience, or from randomized, controlled trials with serious flaws. Any estimate of effect is uncertain.	Strong recommendation, and applies to most patients. Some of the evidence base supporting the recommendation is, however, of low quality.
2A Weak recommendation, high quality evidence	Benefits closely balanced with risks and burdens.	Consistent evidence from well performed randomized, controlled trials or overwhelming evidence of some other form. Further research is unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of benefit and risk.	Weak recommendation, best action may differ depending on circumstances or patients or societal values.
2B Weak recommendation, moderate quality evidence	Benefits closely balanced with risks and burdens, some uncertainty in the estimates of benefits, risks and burdens.	Evidence from randomized, controlled trials with important limitations (inconsistent results, methodologic flaws, indirect or imprecise), or very strong evidence of some other research design. Further research (if performed) is likely to have an impact on our confidence in the estimate of benefit and risk and may change the estimate.	Weak recommendation, alternative approaches likely to be better for some patients under some circumstances.
2C Weak recommendation, low quality evidence	Uncertainty in the estimates of benefits, risks, and burdens; benefits may be closely balanced with risks and burdens.	Evidence from observational studies, unsystematic clinical experience, or from randomized, controlled trials with serious flaws. Any estimate of effect is uncertain.	Very weak recommendation; other alternatives may be equally reasonable.

Contributors:

Initial Bundle Feb 2015: Dr. Michael Dunn and Stacey Dagleish, NP, NI Outcome Group

Revised Bundle Feb 2019: Dr. Michael Dunn and Nely Amaral, RN, NI Outcome Group

Hand Hygiene		
#	Intervention	Grade of Recommendation
1	Perform critical analysis of workflow in the NICU to identify opportunities to improve access to alcohol-based hand rub	1C
2	Promote a clothing/jewelry policy that includes “the right to bare arms”, avoidance of artificial nails and properly clipped natural fingernails (<1/4 in.)	1C
3	Ensure that all staff have a clear understanding of the 5 (or 6) moments for hand hygiene	1C
4	REVISED! Utilize an institution approved alcohol-based hand rub and ensure that it used according to directions (volume, skin coverage and contact time)	1B
5	Establish a culture of safety in which staff and families are empowered to speak up when there are breaches in technique	1C
6	Perform regular hand-hygiene audits in the NICU – provide immediate feedback to MDs/staff regarding performance and collate results for regular reporting using run-charts	1B
7	Provide hospital-grade skin moisturizing agents that are compatible with alcohol-based hand rub and locate them so that staff can readily access them to use between patient contacts	1C
8	Establish and identify zones around the patient that mandate hand hygiene if entered	1C

Feeding		
#	Intervention	Grade of Recommendation
1	Obtain colostrum from mothers of premature newborns to be given as “Oral Immune Therapy”	1C
2	Ensure that mothers of newly born NICU patients begin regular pumping within 6 hours of birth and receive ongoing support to enhance breast milk supply	1B
3	Feed exclusively with mother’s milk	1B
4	Use banked human milk if mother’s milk is not available	1C
5	Institute minimal enteral feedings on Day 1	1C
6	Utilize standardized feeding guidelines for initiation and advancement of feeds with the goal of reaching 120 ml/kg/day within 7-10 days	1B
7	NEW! Use sterile water or non-water based approaches to defrost or warm expressed breast milk	1C

Line Insertion		
#	Intervention	Grade of Recommendation
1	Avoid use of femoral lines	NEW! 1C
2	Use standardized “line cart” or “line tray” that contains all necessary equipment	1B
3	Use a dedicated line insertion team with standardized training and regular recertification utilizing an accepted evidence-based standard	1A
4	Shield area and restrict traffic during procedure	1C
5	Ensure that staff coming within one meter of sterile field don mask and cap	1C
6	Employ a checklist for all line insertions	1A
7	Perform audits to assess compliance with accepted insertion procedure	1C
8	Empower staff to “Stop the Line” if they observe any breach in technique	1A

Line Insertion (Continued)

#	Intervention	Grade of Recommendation
9	Utilize maximal sterile barrier precautions during procedure	1A
10	Double-glove for skin prep and draping – remove outer pair for insertion	1C
11	NEW! Utilize two (2) person sterile technique for line insertion procedure	1C
12	NEW! Employ standardized skin antisepsis techniques and guidelines	1C
13	Use 2% chlorhexidine solution for skin prep. Ensure full coverage, use sparingly and allow to dry completely before penetrating the skin (warning: avoid for umbilical skin prep in ELGA infants who are less than 48 hrs of age)	1A
14	Employ clean introducer for each attempt (skin break)	1A
15	Restrict attempts to 2 per operator	1C

Line Management and Maintenance

#	Intervention	Grade of Recommendation
1	Critically review insertion site q shift for dressing integrity and site cleanliness – document in patient record	1C
2	REVISED! Dressing changes PRN only, by a dedicated trained team, using a standardized dressing with sterile technique	1C
3	REVISED! Employ closed, needleless fluid , medication administration and sampling systems designed and configured to minimize the risk of contamination . Do NOT use open luer or stopcock	1A
4	Assemble and prime infusion tubing using sterile technique, ideally in dedicated off-unit space under laminar air flow; this process may also be useful for medication preparation	1B
5	Perform audits to assess compliance with accepted line assembly and priming procedures	1B
6	Utilize dedicated line team for connecting new infusion sets	2C
7	REVISED! Change line tubing q 96 hr (Lipids q 24 hr). Blood component tubing is single use only with a maximum infusion time of 4 hours. Maintain a closed system whenever possible	1B
8	Add heparin to TPN to a concentration of 0.5 IU/ml	1B

Line Management and Maintenance (Continued)

#	Intervention	Grade of Recommendation
9	“Scrub the Hub” with 70 % alcohol (with or without 2% chlorhexidine) for at least 15 seconds and allow surface to dry before making any line connections or entries	1A
10	NEW! Use sterile or aseptic (including non-sterile gloves) technique whenever accessing ports. Maintain a clean working surface	1C
11	Use prefilled syringes for line flushes	2C
12	Perform regular line connection / line entry audits	2C
13	REVISED! Consider using prophylactic fluconazole in ELBW infants with central catheters in place	1B

Line Removal

#	Intervention	Grade of Recommendation
1	Consider switching from UVC to PICC prior to 7 days of age if need for longer-term IV fluids is anticipated	1B
2	Evaluate need for central line daily	1B
3	Remove line when enteral intake reaches 120 ml/kg/day unless needed for medications	1B

NEW! Education and Documentation

#	Intervention	Grade of Recommendation
1	NEW! Incorporate bundled elements into the Electronic Health Record to promote best practices and enhance accuracy of documentation	1C
2	NEW! Use simulation and video for education to standardize central line insertions and maintenance processes	1C

NEW! Equipment and Environmental Considerations

#	Intervention	Grade of Recommendation
1	NEW! Use single patient use or dedicated equipment (stethoscopes, thermometer, etc)	1C
2	NEW! Ensure robust cleaning routines for multiuse or shared patient equipment	1C

Review of Infections by Multidisciplinary Team

#	Intervention	Grade of Recommendation
1	Consider any blood stream infection to be an adverse event	1C
2	Convene a multidisciplinary team consisting of representatives from nursing, medicine, IP&C and administration to investigate each BSI	1C
3	Utilize standardized template to investigate factors possibly contributing to the development of the BSI	1C

REFERENCES

AABB Primer of Blood Transfusion. Current edition.

AABB Standards for Blood Banks and Transfusion Services. Current edition

- Anthony, M., Bedford-Russell, A., Cooper, T., Fry, C., Heath, P. T., Kennea, N., . . . Wilson, P. (2013). Managing and preventing outbreaks of Gram-negative infections in UK neonatal units. *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal & Neonatal Edition*, 98(6), F549-553.
- Bannatyne, M., Smith, J., Panda, M., Abdel-Latif, M. E., & Chaudhari, T. (2018). Retrospective Cohort Analysis of Central Line Associated Blood Stream Infection following Introduction of a Central Line Bundle in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *International Journal of Pediatrics*, 2018, 4658181.
- Bennett, S. C., Finer, N., Halamek, L. P., Mickas, N., Bennett, M. V., Nisbet, C. C., & Sharek, P. J. (2016). Implementing Delivery Room Checklists and Communication Standards in a Multi-Neonatal ICU Quality Improvement Collaborative. *Joint Commission Journal on Quality & Patient Safety*, 42(8), 369-376.
- Butler-O'Hara, M., D'Angio, C. T., Hoey, H., & Stevens, T. P. (2012). An evidence-based catheter bundle alters central venous catheter strategy in newborn infants. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 160(6), 972-977.e972.
- Ceballos, K., Waterman, K., Hulett, T., & Makic, M. B. (2013). Nurse-driven quality improvement interventions to reduce hospital-acquired infection in the NICU. *Advances in Neonatal Care*, 13(3), 154-163; quiz 164-155.
- Chen, Y. C., Lin, C. F., Rehn, Y. F., Chen, J. C., Chen, P. Y., Chen, C. H., . . . Huang, F. L. (2017). Reduced nosocomial infection rate in a neonatal intensive care unit during a 4-year surveillance period. *Journal of the Chinese Medical Association: JCMA*, 80(7), 427-431.
- Dioni, E., Franceschini, R., Marzollo, R., Oprandi, D., & Chirico, G. (2014). Central vascular catheters and infections. *Early Human Development*, 90 Suppl 1, S51-53.
- Fisher, D., Cochran, K. M., Provost, L. P., Patterson, J., Bristol, T., Metzguer, K., . . . McCaffrey, M. J. (2013). Reducing central line-associated bloodstream infections in North Carolina NICUs. *Pediatrics*, 132(6), e1664-1671.
- Gerceker, G. O., Yardimci, F., & Aydinok, Y. (2017). Randomized controlled trial of care bundles with chlorhexidine dressing and advanced dressings to prevent catheter-related bloodstream infections in pediatric hematology-oncology patients. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 28, 14-20.
- Gill, A. W., Keil, A. D., Jones, C., Aydon, L., & Biggs, S. (2011). Tracking neonatal nosocomial infection: the continuous quality improvement cycle. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 78(1), 20-25.
- Hawes, J. A., & Lee, K. S. (2018). Reduction in Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infections in a NICU: Practical Lessons for Its Achievement and Sustainability. *Neonatal Network - Journal of Neonatal Nursing*, 37(2), 105-115.
- Helder, O., van den Hoogen, A., de Boer, C., van Goudoever, J., Verboon-Maciolek, M., & Kornelisse, R. (2013). Effectiveness of non-pharmacological interventions for the prevention of bloodstream infections in infants admitted to a neonatal intensive care unit: A systematic review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 50(6), 819-831. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2012.02.009

- Hocevar, S. N., Lessa, F. C., Gallagher, L., Conover, C., Gorwitz, R., & Iwamoto, M. (2014). Infection prevention practices in neonatal intensive care units reporting to the national healthcare safety network. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 35(9), 1126-1132.
- Lachman, P., Jayadev, A., & Rahi, M. (2014). The case for quality improvement in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *Early Human Development*, 90(11), 719-723
- Lachman, P., & Yuen, S. (2009). Using care bundles to prevent infection in neonatal and paediatric ICUs. *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases*, 22(3), 224-228.
- Li, S., Faustino, E. V., & Golombek, S. G. (2013). Reducing central line infections in pediatric and neonatal patients. *Curr Infect Dis Rep*, 15(3), 269-277. doi:10.1007/s11908-013-0336-2
- McMullan, R., & Gordon, A. (2016). Impact of a Central Line Infection Prevention Bundle in Newborn Infants. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 37(9), 1029-1036.
- Neill, S., Haithcock, S., Smith, P. B., Goldberg, R., Bidegain, M., Tanaka, D., . . . Ericson, J. E. (2016). Sustained Reduction in Bloodstream Infections in Infants at a Large Tertiary Care Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *Advances in Neonatal Care*, 16(1), 52-59.
- Owens, J. D., Soltau, T., McCaughn, D., Miller, J., O'Mara, P., Robbins, K., . . . Wender, D. F. (2015). Multi-hospital Community NICU Quality Improvement Improves Survival of ELBW Infants. *Journal of the Mississippi State Medical Association*, 56(8), 237-242.
- Payne, V., Hall, M., Prieto, J., & Johnson, M. (2018). Care bundles to reduce central line-associated bloodstream infections in the neonatal unit: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal & Neonatal Edition*, 103(5), F422-F429.
- Pharande, P., Lindrea, K. B., Smyth, J., Evans, M., Lui, K., & Bolisetty, S. (2018). Trends in late-onset sepsis in a neonatal intensive care unit following implementation of infection control bundle: A 15-year audit. *J Paediatr Child Health*. doi:10.1111/jpc.14078
- Pogorzelska-Maziarz, M. (2016). The Use and Effectiveness of Bundles for Prevention of Central Line-Associated Bloodstream Infections in Neonates: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing*, 30(2), 148-159.
- Resende, D. S., Peppe, A. L., dos Reis, H., Abdallah, V. O., Ribas, R. M., & Gontijo Filho, P. P. (2015). Late onset sepsis in newborn babies: epidemiology and effect of a bundle to prevent central line associated bloodstream infections in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 19(1), 52-57.
- Salm, F., Schwab, F., Geffers, C., Gastmeier, P., & Piening, B. (2016). The Implementation of an Evidence-Based Bundle for Bloodstream Infections in Neonatal Intensive Care Units in Germany: A Controlled Intervention Study to Improve Patient Safety. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 37(7), 798-804.
- Schulman, J., Stricof, R. L., Stevens, T. P., Holzman, I. R., Shields, E. P., Angert, R. M., . . . New York State Department of, H. (2009). Development of a statewide collaborative to decrease NICU central line-associated bloodstream infections. *Journal of Perinatology*, 29(9), 591-599

Smulders, C. A., van Gestel, J. P., & Bos, A. P. (2013). Are central line bundles and ventilator bundles effective in critically ill neonates and children? *Intensive Care Medicine*, 39(8), 1352-1358.

Steiner, M., Langgartner, M., Cardona, F., Waldhor, T., Schwindt, J., Haiden, N., & Berger, A. (2015). Significant Reduction of Catheter-associated Blood Stream Infections in Preterm Neonates After Implementation of a Care Bundle Focusing on Simulation Training of Central Line Insertion. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, 34(11), 1193-1196.

Taylor, J. E., McDonald, S. J., Earnest, A., BATTERY, J., Fusinato, B., Hovenden, S., . . . Tan, K. (2017). A quality improvement initiative to reduce central line infection in neonates using checklists. *European Journal of Pediatrics*, 176(5), 639-646.

Taylor, J. E., McDonald, S. J., & Tan, K. (2015). Prevention of central venous catheter-related infection in the neonatal unit: a literature review. *Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine*, 28(10), 1224-1230.